UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the		
Eastern District of	New York	
BARRY SEPULVEDA) Plaintiff(s) v. CITY OF NEW YORK and NEW YORK CITY POLICE OFFICER RICKY ALEXANDER, shield # 12750 Defendant(s))	Civil Action No.	
SUMMONS IN A C	CIVIL ACTION	
To: (Defendant's name and address) COMPTROLLER, CITY OF NE 100 Church Street New York, NY 10007	POLICE OFFICER RICKY ALEXANDER 121 Precinct 970 Richmond Avenue Staten Island, NY 10314	
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer of P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion may whose name and address are: Philip O. Ohene, Esq. 805 Castleton Avenue Staten Island, NY 10310	To the allactica combiant of a motion and a reason in	
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entory You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	ered against you for the relief demanded in the complain DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT	ıt.
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk	

JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

an poor or				DEFENDANCE					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS BARRY SEPULVEDA				DEFENDANTS CITY OF NEW YOR RICKY ALEXANDER	RK and NEW R, shield#	V YORK CITY 12750	POLICE O	FICEF	?
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Richmond (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Philip O. Ohene, Esq. 80 New York 10310	ddress, and Telephone Number) 5 Castleton Avenue, S	taten Island		Attorneys (If Known) Corporation Counse 100 Church Street New York, NY 1000	el of City of	New York			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in On	e Box Only)	III. C	ITIZENSHIP OF PE	RINCIPAL	PARTIES (Place an "X" in C and One Box for	ne Box for Defendar	r Plaintiff nt)
U.S. Government			Citi	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT zen of This State	F DEF	ncorporated or Prin of Business In Th	cipal Place	PTF	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)	Citi	zen of Another State	2 🗇 2 1	ncorporated <i>and</i> Pr of Business In Ar	incipal Place nother State	D 5	5
			1 10000	zen or Subject of a oreign Country	3 🗇 3 F	Foreign Nation		□ 6	0 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Onl	y) RTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANK	RUPTCY	OTHER S	TATUTE	CS
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO	RTY O	525 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 590 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement	820 Copyrig 830 Patent 840 Tradem 840 Tradem SOCIAL S 861 HIA (1 862 Black I 863 DIWC/ 864 SSID T 865 RSI (40 FEDERAL	rwal 2 157 Y RIGHTS 2 thts Practical States of the series	480 Consum 490 Cable/S 850 Securiti Exchan 890 Other S 891 Agricul 893 Enviror 895 Freedor Act 896 Arbitra 899 Admini	apportions and Bankin rce tion er Influence Organizat ar TV es/Commo age tatutory A tural Acts umental M. m of Inforn tion	g ced and ions odities/ ctions atters mation
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land		Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vaca Sentence 530 General		Income Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—	endant)		Decision utionality	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application					
	Employment		ther 🗆	402 Naturalization Application Actions					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" ▼ 1 Original □ 2 R Proceeding St	emoved from 3 tate Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	R	(specify	er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	42 usc Section 1	985 et al	are filing	g (Do not cite jurisdictional sta	uutes unless div	ersity).			
VII. REQUESTED IN	Unlawful Search	and Seizures S IS A CLASS ACTION	ON	DEMAND \$		HECK YES only			
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE	23, F.R.Cv.P.			J	JRY DEMAND	: X Yes	□ No)
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER _			
DATE 9-8-1	15	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNE	EY OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			D	JUDGE		MAG. JU	JDGE		
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT	APPLYING IF	۲						

exclusive certification	of interes	CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, st and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a contrary is filed.
I, Philip O. ineligibl	Ohene, Es	ompulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is
	X	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
		the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
		the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
		DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
		Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
		RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
provides because t same jud	that "A on the cases ge and m	es that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the nagistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil is identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power remine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the
		NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
1.)	Is the County	civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk y: No
2.)	a) Did County	
	b) Did Distric	the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern xt? Yes
If your Suffolk or Suffo	County	to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or or, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau onty?
		BAR ADMISSION
I am cu	rrently	admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No
Are yo	u curren	tly the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No
l certif	y the ac	curacy of all information provided above.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
	-X
BARRY SEPULVEDA	
Dlaintiff	

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

-against-

CITY OF NEW YORK and NEW YORK CITY POLICE OFFICER RICKY ALEXANDER, Shield # 12750

Defendants,
 X

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This is an action for monetary damages (compensatory and punitive) against the City of New York and Police Officer Ricky Alexander, shield # 12750, arising out of the arrest, imprisonment, unlawful search and the violation of the privacy and constitutional rights of BARRY SEPULVEDA, the complainant herein.
- 2. On March 26, 2014, at approximately 2125 hours, Police Officer Ricky Alexander, acting under the color of State law, intentionally and willfully subjected the Plaintiff to, inter alia, a warrantless unlawful search by taking him to a hospital and forcing him to defecate so that his stool could be searched for drugs when the was no reasonable suspicion that contraband would be found in violation of Plaintiff's Fourth Amendment Constitutional rights.

JURISDICTION

3. This action is brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 1331, 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983 and

1985, and the Fourth, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States. Pendant jurisdiction, pendant party jurisdiction and supplementary jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims is asserted.

- 4. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00 excluding interest and cost.
- 5. Venue is laid within the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York in that the Defendant City of New York and the Police Department of the City of New York is located within the Eastern District of New York and a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred within the boundaries of the Eastern District of New York.

PARTIES

- 6. That at all times mentioned herein, the Plaintiff BARRY SEPULVEDA, was and still is a resident of the County of Richmond, City and State of New York.
- 7. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK, was and still is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing pursuant to the Constitution and Laws of the State of New York.
- 8. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the Defendant, City of New York, was and still is a municipal corporation doing business in the State of New York.
- 9. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the individual Defendant, Police Officer Ricky Alexander was at all times relevant hereto, an employee of the City of New York as police officers.
- 10. At all times mentioned herein, defendants were acting under the color of state law, to wit. Under color of the statutes, ordinances, regulations, policies, custom and usages of the City and State of New York.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 11. On March 26, 2014, the Plaintiff was a front seat passenger of a vehicle operated by a friend when the police stopped the vehicle because it was claimed that the operator made a turn without signaling.
- 12. The Police then forcibly pulled both the operator and the Plaintiff out of the vehicle and proceeded to search the vehicle. A small gravity knife was found in the center console of the vehicle and both the Plaintiff and the driver of the vehicle were arrested for Criminal Possession of a Weapon in the Fourth Degree
- 13. They were then taken to the 121st precinct where Plaintiff was forced to undress and strip searched by police officers without any clear indication that criminal evidence would be found within the body of Plaintiff.
- 14. Not being satisfied with the strip search, Police Officer Ricky Alexander and other police officers determined that Plaintiff should be taken to the Richmond University Medical Center for further search and as a result, Plaintiff was held for five (5) days at the hospital in order for Plaintiff to defecate so that his excretion would be searched, all against Plaintiff's will.
- 15. At the hospital, Plaintiff was forced to ingest laxatives in order to prompt excretion and that when no foreign bodies was found in his excretion, a pelvic x-ray was ordered to check for the presence of foreign bodies.
- 16. That when no foreign bodies or contraband was found in Plaintiff's excretion, he was then taken to Criminal Court and arraigned on charges of Criminal Possession of a Weapon in

the Fourth Degree. That charge was subsequently dismissed on 0000000.

- 17. That on or about March 26, 2014, the defendants, their agents and employees wrongfully and falsely accused the Plaintiff BARRY SEPULVEDA of the crimes of Criminal Possession of a Controlled Substance in that it was alleged that he had shoved bags of cocaine into his rectum and subjected him to a warrantless body cavity search.
- 18. That the said unlawful search was caused by the Defendants, their agents, servants and employees without a warrant, or other legal process, without probable cause and without authority of the law and without reasonable cause or belief that the Plaintiff BARRY SEPULVEDA was indeed guilty of the aforesaid crimes.
- 19. That the warrantless body cavity search made incident to his arrest for possession of a gravity knife conducted at the police precinct and hospital in violation of his constitutional rights.
- 20. That the Defendants, their agents, and employees acting within the scope of their authority and within the scope of their employment, wrongfully, unlawfully and without a sufficient charge having been made against him, detained the Plaintiff BARRY SEPULVEDA at the Richmond University Medical Center and directed that he be searched.
- 21. That the Defendants, their agents and employees had the opportunity to know or should have known that the Plaintiff BARRY SEPULVEDA was wholly innocent of the aforesaid suspicion and did not contribute in any way to the conducts of Defendants, their agents and employees and was forced by the Defendants to submit to the aforesaid warrantless search.
- 22. That both the visual body cavity search of the Plaintiff's anus at the precinct and the subsequent medical search at the hospital was unreasonable in violation of Federal Constitution.
 - 23. That by reason of the unlawful warrantless search of the Plaintiff, Plaintiff was

subjected to great indignities, humiliation and ridicule in being so detained and searched, and was greatly injured in his character, and was caused to suffer much pain both in mind and body.

- 24. That the interest in human dignity and privacy which the Fourth Amendment protects forbids any such intrusion on the mere chance that desired evidence might be obtained.
- 25. That on or after March 26, 2014, the Defendants, their agents and employees maliciously and unlawfully searched the Plaintiff BARRY SEPULVEDA without any just grounds therefore.
- 26. That Plaintiff was and is wholly innocent and was forced by the Defendants, their agents and employees to submit to said search without any lawful grounds.
- 27. Defendants have deprived the Plaintiff of his civil, constitutional and statutory rights and are liable to the Plaintiff for personal injuries and related consequential damages in an amount to be determined.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:

- A. In favor of Plaintiff in the amount of Three Hundred Thousand (\$300,000.00) Dollars on Plaintiff's Cause of Action;
- B. Awarding Plaintiff punitive damages in the amount of Three Hundred Thousand (\$300,000.00) Dollars on Plaintiff's Cause of Action;
 - C. Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees, cost and disbursements of this action; and
 - D. Granting such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

Dated: Staten Island, New York September 8, 2015

PHILIP O. OHENE, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff 805 Castleton Avenue

Staten Island, NY 10310

(718) 727-6607

S	ГАТЕ	OF NEW	YORK, COU	NTY OF	SS.	:	
I,	the u	ndersigned	l, an attorney a	dmitted to practice in	the courts of New Yor	k State,	
	П	Certification	certify that the	e within			
Box		By Attorney	has been comp	pared by me with the o	riginal and found to b	e a true ai	nd complete copy.
able	\Box	Attorney's	state that I am				
Check Applicable Box		Affirmation	the attorney(s) of record for			in the within
eck.		action: I h	ave read the for	regoing			and know the contents thereof;
ð					is to the matters there	in alleged	to be on information and belief, and as to those matters
				e reason this verification			
					-	,	
		The group	ds of my baliaf	as to all matters not st	ented upon my own ke	sauladaa a	are as follows:
		i ne groun	us of fify belief	as to all matters not st	ated upon my own kr	iowiedge a	ire as tonows.
1 -	ffirm	that the f	oregoing states	nents are true, under th	ne nenalties of neriury		
	ated:	that the r	oregoing states	ients are true, under tr	ic penanties of perjury	•	The name signed must be printed beneath
		OF NEW	YORK, COU	NTY OF	SS.		The halle signed must be printed behoald
I.			EPULVEDA				say: I am Complainant
-,		Individual		action; I have read the			
e Box		Verification		*			is thereof; the same is true to my own knowledge, except
Cap			as to the matt	ers therein stated to be			ief, and as to those matters I believe it to be true.
App		Corporate	the		of		
Check Applicable Box		Verification	a				nd a party in the within action; I have read the foregoing ents thereof; and the same is true to my own knowledge,
		avcent or t	to the motters	therein stated to be al			lief, and as to those matters I believe it to be true. This
				e because the above pa	and the state of t		
T				Il matters not stated up	The second secon		
		kecord	is in my	attorney's	file		2/2
				DIM WO	Chana		Ben
S۱	vorn 1	to before r	ne on	Notary Public State of I	vew ork		The name signed must be printed beneath
	4	7-8-	18	Notary Public State of NO 020H502538	2		BARRY SEPULVEDA
	/	O	/0	Oualified in Richmond Commission Expires Ma	arch 28, 20 18		
				Commission Expires			
S	TATE	OF NEW	YORK, COU	NTY OF	SS.	:	(If more than one box is checked - indicate after names type of service used.)
I,						being swo	orn, say: I am not a party to the action, am over 18 years
of	age a	nd reside	at		W100 A1		
Oı	n			19 I served th			
	П	Service By Mail	custody of the	a true copy thereof e e U.S. Postal Service rth after each name:	within New York Sta	te, addres	, in an official depository under the exclusive care and sed to each of the following persons at the last known
ble Box		Personal Service on Individual		a true copy thereof per on mentioned and desc			elow at the address indicated. I knew each person served herein:
plice		Service By	by transmittir	ng the papers by electr	onic means to the tele	phone nur	mber listed below, which number was designated by the
Check Applicable Box		Electronic Means	received. I als	so deposited a true co	py of the papers, enc	losed in a	the attorney served indicating that the transmission was post-paid wrapper, in an official depository under the he attorney at the address set forth after the name:
		Overnight Delivery	by depositing	a true conv thereof, en	closed in a wrapper a	ddressed as	s shown below, into the custody of

Plaintiff

-against-

CITY OF NEW YORK and NEW YORK CITY POLICE OFFICER RICKY ALEXANDER, shield # 12750

Defendants

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

PHILIP O. OHENE

Attorneys for
Office and Post Office Address, Telephone
805 Castleton Avenue
Staten Island, New York 10310
Tel. (718) 727-6607
Fax (718) 727-0539

То	
Attorney(s) for	
Service of a copy of the within	is hereby admitted.
Dated,	
	Attorney(s) for

19